## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF MURRAY NO. 3 WATER	)			
DISTRICT FOR A DEVIATION FROM THE	)			
MINIMUM WATER STORAGE REQUIREMENT OF	)	CASE	NO.	10316
807 KAR 5:066, SECTION 5(4)	)			

## O R D E R

By letter received July 11, 1988, Murray No. 3 Water District ("Murray No. 3") requested a deviation from 807 KAR 5:066, Section 5(4), which requires a water utility to provide water storage to insure one day's supply of its average daily water usage.

Additional information is needed for an adequate and proper consideration of the deviation requested by Murray No. 3.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Murray No. 3 shall file an original and seven copies of the following information to the Commission, with a copy to all parties of record, no later than September 6, 1988.

- 1. Provide the following information to describe the demand for water on Murray No. 3 water distribution system.
- a. Total volume purchased annually for 1984, 1985, and 1986.
- b. Total volume purchased monthly for the 12 months ending December 31, 1987.
- c. Average 24-hour volume purchased during the 12 months ending December 31, 1987.

- d. Maximum 24-hour volume purchased during the 12 months ending December 31, 1987.
- e. Total days and highest number of successive days that the maximum 24-hour volume was purchased during the 12 months ending December 31, 1987.
- f. Projected maximum daily demand volume for 1990 similar to (d) and (e) above. Describe the method by which these projections were made.
- 2. Provide a map of Murray No. 3's distribution system that shows the location and size of all distribution mains, storage tanks, pumping stations, and any other significant features of the system. One inch on this map should not represent more than one mile on the ground.
- 3. Provide a description of each of Murray No. 3's storage facilities including their locations on the system and show total volume of storage on the system.
- 4. List the difference in volumes between total storage and (a) maximum 24-hour volume purchased, (b) average 24-hour volume, and (c) minimum 24-hour volume.
- 5. Provide a list of Murray No. 3's large volume customers by name and maximum monthly and 24-hour usage by volume and by percentage of Murray No. 3's maximum monthly and 24-hour volumes. Describe these customers' water storage and/or distribution facilities, if any exist, and any sales/purchase agreements now in effect for such customers. Explain any seasonal variations that affect the purchase volumes of any of these customers. Describe Murray No. 3's curtailment plan, if applicable, to any of these

- customers. Do not list customers who are purchasing less than five percent of Murray No. 3's maximum monthly volumes.
- 6. Provide a technical summary of operational deficiencies of Murray No. 3's water system that are known from experience or that have been indicated by hydraulic analyses.
- 7. List the names and addresses of Murray No. 3's customers that provide critical health services.
- 8. Show the number of hours under present operating conditions that service can be continued to hospitals, schools, and other similar facilities after an interruption of service by Murray No. 3's supplier when the supply requirements to be met are: (a) maximum 24-hour volume, (b) average 24-hour volume, and (c) minimum 24-hour volume. Provide supplemental information as needed to explain how results for (a), (b), and (c) were obtained.
- 9. Describe past periods of interruption by the supplier for Murray No. 3. List dates and total days or hours of interruption.
- 10. Provide detailed information on supplier's system that delivers water to Murray No. 3. Include location and capacity of (a) treatment plant, (b) pumping stations, (c) storage tanks and any other facilities required for the delivery of water to Murray No. 3. Give the 24-hour capacity and maximum 24-hour production of plant for the 12 months ending December 31, 1987.
- 11. Does Murray No. 3 water purchase agreement place a limit on the daily or monthly volumes that its supplier will furnish? If so, define these limits. If supplier provides certain volumes of storage for Murray No. 3, provide a copy of the agreement that

insures the provision of this storage by supplier and a statement reflecting Murray No. 3's assessment of reliability of this agreement. Provide a copy of Murray No. 3's water purchase agreement if its particulars cannot be readily described and note the particulars of interest to the instant case. Describe any curtailment aspects of the contract.

- pressure and volume at each point of delivery to Murray No. 3. Describe those features of supplier's system that limit its capacity for delivery of water pressure and volume to Murray No. 3. Such features may include: (a) distance between supplier's tank and supplier's point of connection with Murray No. 3, (b) size of the connecting main between Murray No. 3 and its supplier's tank, (c) condition of supplier's treatment plant, and (d) capacity and condition of supplier's pumping stations and tanks and supplier's general ability to respond to the needs of Murray No. 3.
- 13. If the Farmers Home Administration ("FMHA") holds any of Murray No. 3's long-term debt, provide a copy of any correspondence from PMHA which states FMHA's position on Murray No. 3's current storage capacity and its request for a deviation from Commission regulations.
- 14. Describe Murray No. 3's planning to date, including its efforts to secure financing, for construction of additional storage facilities.

If this information cannot be provided by September 6, 1988, Murray No. 3 should submit a motion for an extension of time

stating the reason a delay is necessary and include a date by which it will be furnished. Such motion will be considered by the Commission. Murray No. 3 shall furnish with each response the name of the witness who will be available for responding to questions concerning each item of information requested should a public hearing be required in this matter.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 28th day of July, 1988.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:

Executive Director